

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROP 20

NO ON 20 – it wastes taxpayer dollars and it turns back the clock on redistricting law.

Proposition 20 is a disaster ... it must be defeated.

NO ON PROPOSITION 20 – IT WASTES TAXPAYER DOLLARS:

20 is the brainchild of Charles Munger, Jr. – son of multi-billionaire Wall Street tycoon Charles Munger. MUNGER JUNIOR IS THE SOLE BANK-ROLLER OF 20. (Well, four other contributors have given all of \$700.) But just for its qualification, MUNGER GAVE \$3.3 MILLION, a figure that will probably multiply many times by Election Day.

But if Proposition 20 passes, the taxpayers will start paying the bills instead of Munger Junior.

Prop 20 will cost us millions of dollars. Compare Prop 20 with its rival, Prop 27.

First, read the ballot to see what the non-partisan experts say about Prop 27:

"Summary of estimate by Legislative Analyst and Director of Finance of fiscal impact on state and local government: LIKELY DECREASE IN STATE REDISTRICTING COSTS TOTALING SEVERAL MILLION DOLLARS EVERY TEN YEARS."

Second, Prop 20 adds to the cascade of waste that Prop 27 would avoid. Governor

Schwarzenegger has already proposed going back to the well to *double* the redistricting budget,

spending MILLIONS MORE DOLLARS to draw lines for politicians while the state is facing a

**SUBJECT TO COURT
ORDERED CHANGES**

\$19 billion deficit.

AND NOW WITH PROP 20, MUNGER JUNIOR WANTS TO MAKE THIS
WASTEFUL BUREAUCRACY SPRAWL EVEN FURTHER AT THE EXTRA
EXPENSE OF YOU, THE TAXPAYER.

NO ON PROPOSITION 20 – IT MANDATES JIM CROW ECONOMIC DISTRICTS:

Proposition 20 turns back the clock on redistricting law. Inexplicably, Proposition 20 mandates that all districts (including Assembly, Senate, and Congress) must be segregated by income level. This pernicious Prop 20 mandates that all districts be segregated according to "similar living standards" and that districts include only people "with similar work opportunities."

"Prop 20 is insulting to all Californians. Jim Crow districts are a thing of the past. 20 sets back the clock on redistricting law. No on 20."

--Julian Bond, Chairman Emeritus,

NAACP

Jim Crow districts are a throwback to an awful bygone era. Districting by race, by class, by

**SUBJECT TO COURT
ORDERED CHANGES**

lifestyle or by wealth is unacceptable. Munger Junior may not want to live in the same district as his chauffeur, but Californians understand these code words. The days of "country club members only" districts or of "poor people only" districts are over. NO ON PROP 20 -- all Californians MUST be treated equally.

OUR DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC IS NOT A TOY TO BE PLAYED WITH FOR THE SELF-AGGRANDIZEMENT OF THE IDLE SECOND-GENERATION RICH.

NO ON 20, YES ON 27.

Daniel H. Lowenstein, Founding Chairman

CALIFORNIA FAIR POLITICAL PRACTICES COMMISSION

Aubry L. Stone, President

CALIFORNIA BLACK CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

Carl Pope, Chairman

SIERRA CLUB

**SUBJECT TO COURT
ORDERED CHANGES**